to *United States* v. *Lion Oil Company*, D.J. ref. 90–5–2–1–06064.

The proposed Consent Decree may be examined at the Office of the United States Attorney for the Western District of Arkansas, El Dorado Division, 6th & Rogers Avenue, Isass C. Parker Federal Building, Room 216, Fort Smith, Arkansas, 72901, and at the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G. Street, NW, 3rd Floor, Washington, DC 20005. A copy of the proposed Decree may be obtained in person or by mail from the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, NW, 3rd Floor, Washington, D.C. 20005. In requesting a copy, please enclose a check in the amount of \$5.00 (\$0.25 per page for reproduction costs) payable to: Consent Decree Library.

Joel M. Gross,

Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section. [FR Doc. 99–18087 Filed 7–14–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–15–M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Under The Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980

Under 28 CFR 50.7, notice is hereby given that on June 16, 1999, a proposed consent decree ("Consent Decree") in *United States* v. *Meydenbauer Development Co.*, Civil Action No. 2:98–CS–00147 WFN was lodged with the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Washington.

In this action, the United States sought to recover costs incurred and to be incurred in response to the release of hazardous substances at the Deaconess Hospital Superfund Site in Chelan County, Washington ("Site") pursuant to 42 U.S.C. 9607(a). The Consent Decree requires Defendants Meydenbauer Development Co. and M. Tyrone Morgan to pay to the EPA Hazardous Substance Superfund \$5,000 in reimbursement of the United States past response costs. The settlement amount is based upon the Defendants ability to pay. In exchange, the United States will grant the Defendants a covenant not to sue pursuant to section 107(a) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9607(a), for past response costs incurred by the United States. The Defendants also will receive contribution protection for the United States' past response costs pursuant to section 113(f)(2) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9613(f)(2).

The Department of Justice will receive for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication comments relating to the Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General of the Environment and Natural Resources Division, Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20530, and should refer to *United States* v. *Meydenbauer Development Co.*, Civ. No. 2:98–CS–00147 WFN (E.D. Wash.), DJ No. 90–11–2–1365.

The Consent Decree may be examined at the Office of the United States Attorney, 300 United States Courthouse, Spokane, WA 99210–1494, at U.S. EPA Region X, 1200 Sixth Ave., Seattle, WA 98101, and at the Consent Decree Liberty, 1120 G Street, NW., 3rd Floor, Washington, DC 20005. A copy of the Consent Decree may be obtained in person or by mail from the Consent Decree Library. In requesting a copy, please enclose a check payable to the Consent Decree Library in the amount of \$5.25.

Joel M. Gross,

Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division. [FR Doc. 99–18092 Filed 7–14–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–15–M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Comprehensive Environmental Response Compensation and Liability Act

Notice is hereby given that on June 29, 1999, a proposed Consent Decree in United States v. Robert Neal Civil Action No. 1: 99-0264-08 was lodged with the United States District Court for the District of South Carolina. The Consent Decree represents a settlement with one of the potential responsible parties listed in the Amended Complaint for violations of Section 107 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980, 42 U.S.C. 9607. Under the Consent Decree, Robert Neal has agreed to pay the United States \$300.000. This Consent Decree represents the second settlement to be lodged with the Court regarding the Clearwater Finishing Superfund Site. The United States has incurred approximately \$1,182,000.00. The Amended Complaint names two additional parties.

The Department of Justice will receive for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication comments relating to the Consent Decree.

Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General of the Environment and Natural Resources Division, Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20530, and should refer

to *United States* v. *Robert Neal*, D.J. Ref. Number 90–11–3–06135.

The proposed Consent Decree may be examined at the Office of the United States Attorney, for the District of South Carolina, First Union Building, 1441 Main Street, Suite 500, Columbia, South Carolina 29201, at U.S. EPA Region IV, 61 Forsyth Street, Atlanta, Georgia 30303, and at the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, NW., 3rd Floor, Washington, DC 20005, (202) 624-0892. A copy of the proposed Consent Decree may be obtained in person or by mail from the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, NW., 3rd Floor, Washington, DC 20005. In requesting a copy, please enclose a check in the amount of \$4.75 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the Consent Decree Library. Joel M. Gross,

Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division. [FR Doc. 99–18093 Filed 7–14–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–15–M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Consent Decrees Pursuant to the Clean Air Act

In accordance with Departmental policy, 28 CFR 50.7, notice is hereby given that separate consent decrees were lodged in U.S. v. Nevada Cogeneration Associates, #1, et al., Civil Action No. CV-S-99-00107-PMP (D. Nev.) on June 29, 1999, with the United States District Court for the District of Nevada. The case is a civil action under section 113(b) of the Clean Air Act ("Act"), 42 U.S.C. 7413(b), for violation of provisions of the Act and of the regulations for Prevention of Significant Deterioration ("PSD") that require owners and operators of any new stationary source to install and operate Best Available Control Technology ("BACT") to control emissions of relevant air pollutants.

The violations of the PDS regulations involved construction and operation of five gas turbines at two facilities near Las Vegas, Nevada, on which Defendants failed to install and operate BACT.

The Complaint in the civil action seeks injunctive relief to ensure future compliance with the PSD regulations. Under the consent decrees, the defendants will install and operate selective catalytic reduction units "(SCRs") to control emissions of oxides of nitrogen ("NO_X"). After retrofitting the turbines with SCRs, each defendant is required to operate the emissions control equipment specified by its consent decree in compliance with the